

Remarks/Arguments

A. Summary of the Claims

Claims 1 and 18 are amended to recite “a patient undergoing general anesthesia.” Support for this language can be found in the specification and claims as originally filed. *See, e.g.*, specification at page 13, lines 1-11 (*e.g.*, Example 1—patient in the double blind study underwent a general anesthesia). Claim 18 is further amended to recite “preventing or reducing,” and non-limiting support can be found in the specification at page 4, lines 23-25. Claim 16 is amended to delete the term “immediately,” and support can be found in the specification and claims as originally filed. *See, e.g.*, original claim 4.

Claim 24 is added new. Support for this claim can be found, for example, in original claim 7 or at page 4, lines 3-4 of the specification.

Claims 1-24 are pending in this case.

B. The Obviousness Rejections Are Overcome

1. Claims 1-7, 10-19, 21-22, and 23 are not rendered obvious over U.S. Patent 6,340,695 to Gervais

a. Summary of the rejection

Claims 1-7, 10-19, 21-22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent 6,340,695 to Gervais. It is alleged that this reference discloses “...a rapid onset formulation comprising pyridoxine HCl and doxylamine succinate useful in the treatment of nausea and vomiting comprising administration of a therapeutically effective amount of the composition.” Action at page 2 (internal citations omitted). Gervais is also alleged to teach “the formulation of Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride are used in the human and veterinary fields of medicine whenever symptoms of nausea and/or vomiting require medical intervention. *Id.* at page 3 (internal citations omitted and underline in original). From this, the

Action concludes that “[i]t would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine HCl formulation to reduce post-surgical vomiting because the reference teaches the treatment of vomiting and nausea in general.” *Id.*

b. Summary of the arguments

Applicant disagrees. The claims, prior to any amendment made above, were not rendered obvious by the cited references. However, in an effort to further the prosecution and secure prompt allowance, independent claims 1 and 18 are now directed to “a patient undergoing general anesthesia.” At least this element is not disclosed or suggested in the cited Gervais reference.

For instance, and as discussed in detail in the following sections, Applicant respectfully submits that a method of reducing post-surgical vomiting comprising administering to a patient undergoing general anesthesia a therapeutically effective amount of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride, as recited in claims 1 and 18, would not have been obvious on the priority date of Applicant’s invention to a person of ordinary skill in the art. Further, Applicant’s invention has surprising and unexpected results and also satisfies a long-felt need in the art which was not solved by others.

c. A prima facie case of obviousness is not present

In order to maintain the present obviousness rejection, there must be a showing that Gervais discloses or suggests reducing post-surgical vomiting in “a patient undergoing general anesthesia.” MPEP § 2142 (explaining the requirements for establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness). In essence, the question is whether differences between the prior art and Applicant’s invention, namely, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride to a patient undergoing general

anesthesia—and its effects on reducing post-surgical vomiting in the patient—are such that applicant’s invention as a whole would have been obvious. *See In re Wright*, 848 F.2d 1216 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (“[I]t is the invention as a whole that must be considered in obviousness determinations. The invention as a whole embraces the structure, its properties, and the problem it solves.” The cited Gervais reference fails in this respect.

For instance, Gervais generally teaches a rapid onset formulation comprising Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride as active ingredients. Specific *in-vitro* dissolution profiles for the formulation are also disclosed. The formulation is said to be useful in treating nausea and vomiting.

However, Applicant submits that in the present case, neither the claimed method itself—administering to a patient undergoing general anesthesia a therapeutically effective amount of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride; the result of the method—reducing post-surgical vomiting; or the problem Applicant solves—controlling post-operative vomiting and alleviating post-operative patient discomfort (as stated for example on page 2, lines 8-24 of the specification as filed)—were taught or suggested in Gervais. Thus the invention as a whole has clearly not been rendered obvious by the cited prior art. Stated another way, Applicant submits that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established for at least three reasons.

First, a person of skill in the art would not find, in Gervais, or in the knowledge generally available to him or her, any suggestion or motivation to specifically use a combination of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride in a patient undergoing general anesthesia for reducing post-surgical vomiting. As acknowledged by the Examiner, Gervais does not teach “reducing post-surgical vomiting” or “treating post-surgical vomiting”. Action page 2. Gervais does not even mention surgical conditions or anesthesia. Thus, no incentive in Gervais appears

to be present that would lead a person skilled in the art to modify the teachings by Gervais towards the claimed method. *See* MPEP § 2143.01 (“The mere fact that references can be combined or modified does not render the resultant combination obvious unless the prior art also suggests the desirability of the combination.”) (underlines in original). Further, Applicant respectfully notes that “obvious to try” is not the appropriate standard under 35 U.S.C. § 103. *The Gillette Co. v. S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc.*, 919 F.2d 720 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (noting that ‘obvious to try’ is not to be equated with obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103.).

Second, Applicant submits that the experimental results on the effectiveness of the combination of the two known products for the reduction, prophylaxis and treatment of post-surgical vomiting were quite unexpected. The results summarized in Table 1, page 14, of Applicant’s specification clearly show that the beneficial effects of the combination reduce post-surgical vomiting, which allows patients to benefit from a rapid recovery (1.5 days, compared to 4 with the placebo). Very surprisingly, it appears that the combination has little or no effect on patient nausea in post-surgical situations. Such surprising results support the fact that there is a lack of a reasonable expectation of success in the cited reference or from a person of skill in the art that modifying Gervais to encompass Applicant’s invention would work. *See* MPEP § 2143.02. In addition, unexpected results as such, and as commensurate with invention as claimed in amended claims 1 and 18, are further evidence of nonobviousness, as they constitute secondary considerations of nonobviousness. *See* MPEP §§ 716.02(a)-(c).

Third, Gervais does not teach or suggest every limitation of independent claims 1 or 18, as amended. Again, it fails to disclose “administering to a patient undergoing general anesthesia a therapeutically effective amount of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride.”

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that all three basic criteria for establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness are missing (see MPEP § 2142), and the present obviousness rejection is overcome.

d. Secondary considerations of non-obviousness are present

As explained above, Applicant has shown the existence of surprising and unexpected results which is evidence of nonobviousness. § MPEP 716.02(a)[III]. In addition, Applicant submits that the claimed method satisfies a long felt need which was recognized, persistent, and not solved by others. MPEP § 716.04. The need for reducing, preventing and treating post-surgical vomiting has been persistently recognized since 1970 at the latest, as shown in the enclosed PubMed list of 47 review articles (attached as Appendix A) linked with anesthesia and surgery, published between 1968 and 2006. These review articles were designated by PubMed as “related articles” to an article by Marley entitled “Postoperative nausea and vomiting: the outpatient enigma” (June 1996), no. 26, on the list attached at Appendix A, as sorted out by the publication dates. Furthermore, it is known that current anti-emetics used to prevent or reduce vomiting after surgery are either poorly efficient or cause undesirable side effects such as dystonic reactions and somnolence. Applicant’s specification at page 2. Moreover, in June 2003, *i.e.*, just before the filing date of the present application, an article by McGrath *et al.* entitled “postoperative recovery and discharge”, the abstract of which is attached as Appendix B, states that PONV (postoperative nausea and vomiting) are still common. Thus, the long-felt need for reducing, preventing and treating post-surgical vomiting had not been satisfied by others before Applicant’s invention. Control of post-operative vomiting then remained an essential problem to solve, for patient and clinician. *See In re Dow Chemical Co.*, 837 F.2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (“Recognition of need, and difficulties encountered by those skilled in the field, are classical indicia of unobviousness.”); *see also In re Mahurkar Patent Litigation*, 831 F. Supp.

1354 (N.D. Ill. 1993), *aff'd*, 71 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (“The existence of an enduring, unmet need is strong evidence that the invention is novel, not obvious, and not anticipated. If people are clamoring for a solution, and the best minds do not find it for years, that is practical evidence—the kind that can’t be bought from a hired expert, the kind that does not depend on fallible memories or doubtful inferences—of the state of knowledge.”).

Further, as noted in the above paragraph, vomiting is a known side effect of general anesthesia in surgical situations. Vomiting rarely occurs when a local anaesthetic is involved. The anti-emetic and anti-nauseant properties of the combination of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride have been known since the 1950’s to treat hyperemesis gravidarum in pregnant women. *See* Brent (1983), a copy of which was submitted to the Patent Office in Applicant’s Information Disclosure Statement as “C1” on December 1, 2003; *see also* Applicant’s specification at page 3 lines 1-3. Despite these facts, there has been no suggestion to use a combination of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride for the reduction, prophylaxis and treatment of post-surgical vomiting prior to Applicant’s invention (more than thirty years later). MPEP § 716.04[I] (explaining that “the long-felt need must not have been satisfied by another before the invention by applicant.”).

Further, Example 1 of Applicant’s specification clearly demonstrates that Applicant’s invention indeed satisfies the long felt need with regard to reduction, prophylaxis, and treatment of post surgical vomiting. This fact also clearly pleads in favor of the non-obviousness of the present invention. MPEP § 716.04[I] (explaining that “the invention must in fact satisfy the long-felt need”).

e. Summary of conclusions

In summary, claims 1 and 18 are direct to the use of the combination, for the reduction, as well as prophylaxis and treatment, of vomiting, in a patient undergoing surgical intervention with general anaesthesia. The cited Gervais reference fails to teach or suggest at least this aspect of Applicant's claimed invention. Further, Applicant has shown that the claimed invention (1) provides surprising and unexpected results and (2) satisfies a long-felt need which was recognized, persistent, and not solved by other. For at least these reasons, the present claims are not rendered obvious over Gervais.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-7, 10-19, 21-22 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Gervais be withdrawn.

2. Dependent claims 8, 9, and 20 are not rendered obvious over Gervais in view of Ansel *et al.*

Dependent claims 8, 9, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gervais in view of Ansel *et al.*

Applicant disagrees. This rejection of these dependent claims is based on the primary reference of Gervais. Because independent claims 1 and 18 are not obvious over Gervais (as discussed above), claims depending from these independent claims are also not obvious for the same reasons discussed above. Thus, the arguments presented above are incorporated by reference. *See* MPEP § 2143.03 ("If an independent claim is nonobvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103, then any claim depending therefrom is nonobvious."). Therefore, the remaining obviousness rejections are overcome and should be withdrawn.

Applicant further notes that Ansel *et al.* merely discloses that delayed-release products usually are enteric coated tablets. Therefore, Applicant submits that should a person of skill in the art combine the teachings by Gervais with those by Ansel *et al.*, he/she would certainly not

be led to the present invention as claimed, particularly since neither one of these references or their combination teach or suggest a method of reducing post-surgical vomiting comprising administering to a patient undergoing general anesthesia a therapeutically effective amount of Doxylamine Succinate and Pyridoxine Hydrochloride.

In view of the above, Applicant requests that the present obviousness rejection of claims 8, 9 and 20 be withdrawn.

C. The Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection Is Overcome

Claims 1-6, 13-18, and 19 are rejected for nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 25-29 and 30 of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/885,051. Applicant notes that Application No. 09/885,051 issued into U.S. Patent No. 6,340,695 to Gervais which is the same reference used to support the above discussed obviousness rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Applicant disagrees with the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Because this rejection is based on the same reference used to support the § 103(a) rejections, the arguments made above equally apply to this rejection and are therefore incorporated by reference. Applicant requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

D. Conclusion

Applicant believes that this is a complete response to the Office Action mailed June 15, 2006. The present claims are in a condition for allowance, and such favorable action is requested.

A petition for a two-month extension of time is being submitted concurrently with this document. The Commissioner is authorized to deduct the two-month extension of time fee of \$225.00 for small entity status applications and any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 required for any reason relating to the enclosed materials from Fulbright & Jaworski Deposit Account No. 50-1212/GOUD:032US.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned Attorney at (512) 536-3030 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael R. Krawzsenek
Reg. No. 51,898
Attorney for Applicants

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 536-3020
(512) 536-4598 (facsimile)

Date: November 15, 2006

APPENDIX A
(PubMed List of 47 Review Articles)



A service of the National Library of Medicine
and the National Institutes of Health

www.pubmed.gov

My NCBI [?]
[Sign In] [Register]

All Databases

PubMed

Nucleotide

Protein

Genome

Structure

OMIM

PMC

Journals

Books

Search PubMed



for

Go

Clear

Limits

Preview/Index

History

Clipboard

Details

About Entrez

Display Summary

Show

100

Pub Date

Send to

Text Version

All: 208

Review: 47



Items 1 - 47 of 47

One page.

Entrez PubMed

Overview

Help | FAQ

Tutorials

New/Noteworthy
E-Utilities

PubMed Services

Journals Database

MeSH Database

Single Citation Matcher

Batch Citation Matcher

Clinical Queries

Special Queries

LinkOut

My NCBI

Related Resources

Order Documents

NLM Mobile

NLM Catalog

NLM Gateway

TOXNET

Consumer Health

Clinical Alerts

ClinicalTrials.gov

PubMed Central

1: Wadlund DL.

Related Articles, Links



Prevention, recognition, and management of nursing complications in the intraoperative and postoperative surgical patient.

Nurs Clin North Am. 2006 Jun;41(2):151-71. v. Review.

PMID: 16698336 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

2: Smith I.

Related Articles, Links



Dissecting the myths of day surgery: the anaesthetist's view.

J Perioper Pract. 2006 May;16(5):244-8. Review.

PMID: 16724619 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

3: Foubert J, Vaessen G.

Related Articles, Links



Nausea: the neglected symptom?

Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2005 Mar;9(1):21-32. Review.

PMID: 15774338 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

4: Eberhart LH, Geldner G, Horle S, Wulf H.

Related Articles, Links



[Prophylaxis and treatment of nausea and vomiting after outpatient ophthalmic surgery]

Ophthalmologe. 2004 Sep;101(9):925-30. Review. German.

PMID: 14999416 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

5: Callesen T.

Related Articles, Links



Inguinal hernia repair: anaesthesia, pain and convalescence.

Dan Med Bull. 2003 Aug;50(3):203-18. Review.

PMID: 13677240 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

6: McGrath B, Chung F.

Related Articles, Links



Postoperative recovery and discharge.

Anesthesiol Clin North America. 2003 Jun;21(2):367-86. Review.

PMID: 12812401 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

7: Cameron D, Gan TJ.

Related Articles, Links













Management of postoperative nausea and vomiting in ambulatory surgery.











Anesthesiol Clin North America. 2003 Jun;21(2):347-65. Review.











PMID: 12812400 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]











8: Bryant R.

Related Articles, Links

-  Managing side effects of childhood cancer treatment.
J Pediatr Nurs. 2003 Apr;18(2):113-25. Review.
PMID: 12720208 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **9:** [Marley RA, Swanson J.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Patient care after discharge from the ambulatory surgical center.
J Perianesth Nurs. 2001 Dec;16(6):399-417; quiz 417-9. Review.
PMID: 11740781 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **10:** [Arif AS, Kaye AD, Frost E.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting--a review.
Middle East J Anesthesiol. 2001 Jun;16(2):127-54. Review.
PMID: 11565430 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **11:** [Fuchs-Buder T, Mencke T.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Use of reversal agents in day care procedures (with special reference to postoperative nausea and vomiting).
Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl. 2001;23:53-9. Review.
PMID: 11766248 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **12:** [Sim KM, Boey SK.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Outpatient general anaesthesia for oral surgery.
Singapore Dent J. 2000 Dec;23(1 Suppl):29-37. Review.
PMID: 11699360 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **13:** [Kovac AL.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Prevention and treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting.
Drugs. 2000 Feb;59(2):213-43. Review.
PMID: 10730546 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **14:** [Mann E.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Using acupuncture and acupressure to treat postoperative emesis.
Prof Nurse. 1999 Jul;14(10):691-4. Review.
PMID: 10481718 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **15:** [Capili B, Anastasi JK.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  A symptom review: nausea and vomiting in HIV.
J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 1998 Nov-Dec;9(6):47-56. Review.
PMID: 9805296 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **16:** [Williams AR, Conroy JM.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  The anesthetic management of the pediatric strabismus patient.
J AAPOS. 1998 Apr;2(2):113-5. Review.
PMID: 10530973 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **17:** [Mann A.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  A continuing postoperative complication: nausea and vomiting--who is affected, why, and what are the contributing factors? A review.
CRNA. 1998 Feb;9(1):19-29. Review.
PMID: 9624943 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **18:** [Balfour JA, Goa KL.](#) Related Articles, Links

-  Dolasetron. A review of its pharmacology and therapeutic potential in the management of nausea and vomiting induced by chemotherapy, radiotherapy or surgery.
Drugs. 1997 Aug;54(2):273-98. Review.
PMID: 9257083 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **19:** Goodman M. Related Articles, Links
-  Risk factors and antiemetic management of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting.
Oncol Nurs Forum. 1997 Aug;24(7 Suppl):20-32. Review.
PMID: 9282378 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **20:** Rhodes VA. Related Articles, Links
-  Criteria for assessment of nausea, vomiting, and retching.
Oncol Nurs Forum. 1997 Aug;24(7 Suppl):13-9. Review.
PMID: 9282377 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **21:** Hogan CM, Grant M. Related Articles, Links
-  Physiologic mechanisms of nausea and vomiting in patients with cancer.
Oncol Nurs Forum. 1997 Aug;24(7 Suppl):8-12. Review.
PMID: 9282376 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **22:** Haynes GR, Bailey MK. Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting: review and clinical approaches.
South Med J. 1996 Oct;89(10):940-9. Review.
PMID: 8865784 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **23:** Tate S, Cook H. Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting. 2: Management and treatment.
Br J Nurs. 1996 Sep 26-Oct 9;5(17):1032-9. Review.
PMID: 8918762 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **24:** Tate S, Cook H. Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting. 1: Physiology and aetiology.
Br J Nurs. 1996 Sep 12-25;5(16):962, 964, 966 passim. Review.
PMID: 9006156 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **25:** Kaufman S, Martin-Sheridan D. Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting after strabismus surgery: mechanisms, treatment, and implications for practice.
CRNA. 1996 Aug;7(3):139-43. Review.
PMID: 8850992 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **26:** Marley RA. Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting: the outpatient enigma.
J Perianesth Nurs. 1996 Jun;11(3):147-61. Review.
PMID: 8964005 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **27:** Spires R. Related Articles, Links
-  The ophthalmic ambulatory surgery patient.
J Post Anesth Nurs. 1996 Apr;11(2):78-89. Review.
PMID: 8709048 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

- ☐ **28:** Marley RA, Moline BM. Related Articles, Links
 Patient discharge from the ambulatory setting.
J Post Anesth Nurs. 1996 Feb;11(1):39-49. Review.
PMID: 8709038 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **29:** Goebel C. Related Articles, Links
 Prevention and control of nausea and vomiting for patients with cancer.
Home Healthc Nurse. 1996 Jan;14(1):15-20; quiz 21-2. Review.
PMID: 8698602 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **30:** Boey WK. Related Articles, Links
 Challenges in ambulatory surgery: discharge criteria.
Ann Acad Med Singapore. 1995 Nov;24(6):906-9. Review.
PMID: 8839008 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **31:** Keller VE. Related Articles, Links
 Management of nausea and vomiting in children.
J Pediatr Nurs. 1995 Oct;10(5):280-6. Review.
PMID: 7500253 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **32:** Kenny GN. Related Articles, Links
 Risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting.
Anaesthesia. 1994 Jan;49 Suppl:6-10. Review.
PMID: 8129161 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **33:** Hirsch J. Related Articles, Links
 Impact of postoperative nausea and vomiting in the surgical setting.
Anaesthesia. 1994 Jan;49 Suppl:30-3. Review.
PMID: 8129160 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **34:** White PF, Smith I. Related Articles, Links
 Impact of newer drugs and techniques on the quality of ambulatory anesthesia.
J Clin Anesth. 1993 Nov-Dec;5(6 Suppl 1):3S-13S. Review.
PMID: 8292366 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **35:** Parnass SM. Related Articles, Links
 Ambulatory surgical patient priorities.
Nurs Clin North Am. 1993 Sep;28(3):531-45. Review.
PMID: 8367324 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **36:** Bazin JE, Seinturier B, Schoeffler P. Related Articles, Links
 [Prevention of nausea and vomiting in ambulatory anesthesia]
Cah Anesthesiol. 1993;41(4):393-402. Review. French. No abstract available.
PMID: 8402288 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **37:** Dandoy M. Related Articles, Links
 [Characteristic problems posed to the anesthetist by ambulatory surgery in ophthalmology]
Cah Anesthesiol. 1993;41(4):361-5. Review. French.
PMID: 8402283 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **38:** Rabey PG, Smith G. Related Articles, Links

-  Anaesthetic factors contributing to postoperative nausea and vomiting.
Br J Anaesth. 1992;69(7 Suppl 1):40S-45S. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 1486013 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **39:** [Lerman J.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Surgical and patient factors involved in postoperative nausea and vomiting.
Br J Anaesth. 1992;69(7 Suppl 1):24S-32S. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 1486011 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **40:** [Korttila K.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  The study of postoperative nausea and vomiting.
Br J Anaesth. 1992;69(7 Suppl 1):20S-23S. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 1486010 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **41:** [Andrews PL.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Physiology of nausea and vomiting.
Br J Anaesth. 1992;69(7 Suppl 1):2S-19S. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 1486009 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **42:** [Rhodes VA.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Nausea, vomiting, and retching.
Nurs Clin North Am. 1990 Dec;25(4):885-900. Review.
PMID: 2235641 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **43:** [Hogan CM.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Advances in the management of nausea and vomiting.
Nurs Clin North Am. 1990 Jun;25(2):475-97. Review.
PMID: 2186393 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **44:** [McCallum RW, Moore JG.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  What is the role of gastric emptying tests in a patient with nausea and vomiting?
Am J Gastroenterol. 1988 Aug;83(8):803-5. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 3293430 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **45:** [Flaherty AM.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  [Nausea and vomiting]
Riv Infirm. 1987 Feb;6(2):123-6. Review. Italian. No abstract available.
PMID: 3116650 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **46:** [McKie BD.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Postoperative nausea and vomiting: A review of their incidence, causes and effects.
Aust N Z J Surg. 1970 Feb;39(3):311-4. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 4910465 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
- ☐ **47:** [Burnap TK, Vaughn RW.](#) Related Articles, Links
-  Complications of the postoperative period.
Clin Anesth. 1968;3:372-9. Review. No abstract available.
PMID: 4902369 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Display ☐ Summary ☐ Show ☐ 100 ☐ Pub Date ☐ Send to ☐

[Write to the Help Desk](#)
[NCBI](#) | [NLM](#) | [NIH](#)
[Department of Health & Human Services](#)
[Privacy Statement](#) | [Freedom of Information Act](#) | [Disclaimer](#)

Nov 6 2006 15:24:20

APPENDIX B
(McGrath *et al.* Abstract)



A service of the National Library of Medicine
and the National Institutes of Health

www.pubmed.gov

Select 12812401

1: Anesthesiol Clin North America. 2003 Jun;21(2):367-86.

Postoperative recovery and discharge.

McGrath B, Chung F.

Department of Anesthesia, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, University of Toronto, 399 Bathurst Street, EC 2-046 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5T 2S8.

Ambulatory surgery provides quality care that is cost-effective. The use of innovative surgical and anesthetic techniques will allow larger numbers of patients to take advantage of the benefits of undergoing an elective operation on an ambulatory basis. Anesthesiologists will be faced with more complex surgery, which will require careful selection and assessment of patients to ensure continuity of the excellent safety record of ambulatory anesthesia. Minor adverse events, such as pain and PONV, are still common. The occurrence of these minor adverse events is now the major area of quality assessment and an area where improvement could be targeted. Fast tracking facilitates earlier discharge, but we must ensure this has benefit to the patient as speedy discharge may mask the true incidence of adverse minor symptoms. This can lead to patient dissatisfaction and a poor impression of ambulatory surgery.

PMID: 12812401 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Related Links

Anaesthetic strategies towards developments in day care surgery.
[Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl. 2001] PMID: 11766244

Inguinal hernia repair: anaesthesia, pain and convalescence.
[Dan Med Bull. 2003] PMID: 13677240

A comparison of infraclavicular nerve block versus general anesthesia for hand and wrist day-case surgeries.
[Anesthesiology. 2004] PMID: 15220781

Fast-tracking after ambulatory surgery.
[J Perianesth Nurs. 2001] PMID: 11740779

Ambulatory anesthesia: past, present, and future.
[Int Anesthesiol Clin. 1994] PMID: 7960169
